

**Question 1.** A binary relation on a set that is reflexive and symmetric is called a compatible relation. Let  $A$  be a set. A cover of  $A$  is a set of non-empty subsets of  $A$ , say  $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\}$ , such that union of  $A_i$ 's is equal to  $A$ . Suggest a way to define a compatible relation on  $A$  from a cover of  $A$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\}$  be a cover of  $A$ , so that  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . We define a binary relation  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $A$  as follows:

$$(a, b) \in \rho_{\mathcal{A}} \Leftrightarrow \{a, b\} \subseteq A_i$$

for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let us show that  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  is compatible.

*Reflexivity.* Indeed, since  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ , then for any  $a \in A$  there is  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $a \in A_i$ . Consequently,  $\{a, a\} = \{a\} \subseteq A_i$  and hence  $(a, a) \in \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  by the definition of  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

*Symmetry.* Take  $a, b \in A$  such that  $(a, b) \in \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ . We need to show that  $(b, a) \in \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ . By definition  $\{a, b\} \subseteq A_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . But  $\{b, a\} = \{a, b\}$ , so  $\{b, a\} \subseteq A_i$ . This exactly means that  $(b, a) \in \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ .  $\square$