

First consider the special case when $M \sim R^n$. In this case, we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$(\text{Hom}_R(M, N))^K \sim (\text{Hom}_R(R^n, N))^K \sim (nN)^K \sim n \cdot N^K,$$

$$\text{Hom}_{R^K}(M^K, N^K) \sim \text{Hom}^{R^K}((R^K)^n, N^K) \sim n \cdot N^K.$$

From this, we can safely conclude that θ is an isomorphism. (Some commutative diagrams must be checked, but it is mostly routine work.) Next we assume M is a finitely presented R -module, which means that there exists an exact sequence of R -modules $M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where $M_1 = R^n$ and $M_2 = R^m$. Applying the left-exact Hom - functors into N and into N^K , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (\text{Hom}_R(M, N))^K & \rightarrow & (\text{Hom}_R(M_2, N))^K & \rightarrow & (\text{Hom}_R(M_1, N))^K \\ & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta_2 & & \downarrow \theta_1 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{R^K}(M^K, N^K) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{R^K}(M_2^K, N^K) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{R^K}(M_1^K, N^K) \end{array}$$

Since θ_1, θ_2 are both isomorphisms, an easy diagram chase shows that θ is also an isomorphism.