

In the following, we assume $R = Mn(D)$, and identify R with $\text{End}(VD)$ where $\dim DV = n$. Note that, in this case, any nilpotent set $S_0 \subseteq R$ will automatically satisfy $S_0^n = 0$. This follows readily by considering the chain of D -subspaces $V \supseteq S_0 V \supseteq S_0^2 V \supseteq \dots$.

(For any subset $T \subseteq R$, TV denotes the D -subspace $\{ \sum_i t_i v_i : t_i \in T, v_i \in V \}$.)

Clearly, the set $S \subseteq R$ contains 0. Consider all nilpotent subsets $S_i \subseteq S$ (e.g. $\{0, s\}$ for any $s \in S$). Since $S_i^n = 0$ for all i , Zorn's Lemma can be applied to show the existence of a *maximal* nilpotent subset $S_0 \subseteq S$. We see easily that $\{0\} \subset S_0$. Let $U = S_0 V$. Then $0 \neq U \neq V$, so $\dim_D U, \dim_D V/U$ are both $< n$. Consider

$S_1 := \{s \in S : sU \subseteq U\}$.

Clearly $S_1 \supseteq S_0$, and $S_1^2 \subseteq S_1$. Invoking an inductive hypothesis at this point, we may assume S_1 is nilpotent on U and on V/U . Then S_1 itself is nilpotent, and so $S_1 = S_0$. In particular, for any $s \in S \setminus S_0$, we have $sS_0 \not\subseteq S_0$ (for otherwise $sU = sS_0 V \subseteq S_0 V = U$ implies $s \in S_1 = S_0$).

Assume, for the moment, that $S_0 \neq S$. Take $s \in S \setminus S_0$. Then $ss_1 \notin S_0$ for some $s_1 \in S_0$, and, since $ss_1 \in S$, $(ss_1)s_2 \notin S_0$ for some $s_2 \in S_0$, etc. But then we get $s(s_1 s_2 \dots s_n) \notin S_0$ where all $s_i \in S_0$, contradicting the fact that $s(s_1 s_2 \dots s_n) = 0 \in S_0$. Therefore, we must have $S = S_0$, and so $S^n = 0$.