

One can also show that  $R \sim R^{\text{op}} \Rightarrow k \sim k^{\text{op}}$ . Then since  $R$  is isomorphic to  $S$  (under mentioned assumptions), and  $k$  has an anti-automorphism, and the same is true for  $A$ ,  $R$  and  $S$ , then statement is obvious.

In details:

To simplify the notations, we shall work in the (sufficiently typical) case  $n = 3$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon : k \rightarrow k$  is an anti-automorphism (resp. involution). Composing the transpose map with  $\varepsilon$  on matrix entries, we can define  $\delta_0 : A \rightarrow A$  with

$$\delta_0 \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(a) & \varepsilon(d) & \varepsilon(g) \\ \varepsilon(b) & \varepsilon(e) & \varepsilon(h) \\ \varepsilon(c) & \varepsilon(f) & \varepsilon(i) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that this  $\delta_0$  is an anti-automorphism (resp. involution) of  $A$ , and therefore so is  $\delta := \alpha \circ \delta_0$  given by

$$\delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(i) & \varepsilon(f) & \varepsilon(c) \\ \varepsilon(h) & \varepsilon(e) & \varepsilon(b) \\ \varepsilon(g) & \varepsilon(d) & \varepsilon(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus  $R$ ,  $S$ ,  $R^{\text{op}}$ ,  $S^{\text{op}}$  are all isomorphic.